

MZL on the 90-day highway
Posted by mzl - 17 Jun 2018 19:53

Day 9.

I think I need to make myself at home in the 90-day topic.

Today I'm really upset because my wife is shopping all day again and I'm watching the kids.

I ate way too much, it's the only thing I could think about to do something nice for myself.
Pathetic, I guess.

Does G-d really deserve me living next to her and not even masturbate? The worst part is that I have annihilated most of my desires so the thought of masturbating is like watching paint dry.

I guess tomorrow will be better. It's already 3:53 pm.

=====

Re: MZL on the 90-day highway
Posted by cordnoy - 21 Oct 2018 17:33

[mzl wrote on 21 Oct 2018 17:32:](#)

[cordnoy wrote on 21 Oct 2018 16:34:](#)

[mzl wrote on 21 Oct 2018 16:13:](#)

I accepted hypothetically. I think we are in different time zones, and my wife gets very upset if I disappear.

Time durin' the day?

I don't understand. I mean can't take days off to fly somewhere to have coffee with people.

I will pm you.

=====
=====

Re: MZL on the 90-day highway
Posted by Dov - 21 Oct 2018 18:10

I PM'd him, I emailed him, he didn't reply and didn't explain why, either. So don't be surprised...

=====
=====

Re: MZL on the 90-day highway
Posted by cordnoy - 21 Oct 2018 18:20

[Dov wrote on 21 Oct 2018 18:10:](#)

I PM'd him, I emailed him, he didn't reply and didn't explain why, either. So don't be surprised...

Sorry to hear that. We are communicatin' however. It ain't my pretty face, that I can guarantee you.

=====
=====

Re: MZL on the 90-day highway
Posted by Dov - 21 Oct 2018 18:26

[cordnoy wrote on 21 Oct 2018 18:20:](#)

[Dov wrote on 21 Oct 2018 18:10:](#)

I PM'd him, I emailed him, he didn't reply and didn't explain why, either. So don't be surprised...

Sorry to hear that. We are communicatin' however. It ain't my pretty face, that I can guarantee you.

I've seen you and think you're a handsome fellow. I could guess why he's ignored me in private, while posting at me in public...but I'll let him judge that himself cuz it isn't my business.

=====

Re: MZL on the 90-day highway
Posted by mzl - 21 Oct 2018 19:58

[Dov wrote on 21 Oct 2018 18:26:](#)

[cordnoy wrote on 21 Oct 2018 18:20:](#)

[Dov wrote on 21 Oct 2018 18:10:](#)

I PM'd him, I emailed him, he didn't reply and didn't explain why, either. So don't be surprised...

Sorry to hear that. We are communicatin' however. It ain't my pretty face, that I can guarantee you.

I've seen you and think you're a handsome fellow. I could guess why he's ignored me in private, while posting at me in public...but I'll let him judge that himself cuz it isn't my business.

I read your PM but didn't get a chance to respond. You basically told me that I'm using my bipolar disorder as an excuse to attack people personally, and even that I may not be bipolar at all. Doesn't lead me to think that the conversation will go somewhere good. I still think we have cultural differences and different ways of looking at the world.

Looks cordnoy lives in my neck of the woods. If later I discover that the same is true for

=====
=====

Re: MZL on the 90-day highway
Posted by Dov - 21 Oct 2018 20:54

I do not think that I wrote that "you may not be bipolar". Rather, I think I wrote that *I don't know* if you are really bipolar. There is a difference. One is about me, the other is about you. If you learned that distinction instead of having a hand at it, I believe a lot of your communication ~~everyone else here I'm going to fall over~~ would be much more effective - probably at home w wife, kids, shulmembers and friends. I think you may not realize that you're confusing the two, and I think that leads to much of your feeling insulted by others: you may take it as a given that they are judging you just the way you judge others. You may not even understand that it's judgement going on, at all. But most folks - here, at least - are more kind and realize that we aren't certain about *that* much.

And, correct, bipolar *isn't* an excuse for baiting people or annoying them. If yoh are bipolar, then it's your job to work on not doing that as much. You're even better at formulating excuses than I am, it appears. So that's probably one reason your efforts occasionally may never even get off the ground. An open mind will go a very long way toward helping you with seeing excuses as avoidance. I have bH sponsored a couple of addicts with bipolar, so I know it's patient, slow work to get balanced.

I hope you enjoy Cordnoy, if you meet him. You both have a lot of good stuff to share, I'm sure. He will have to be comfortable with his own safety, of course, and that's not always easy to assess. Nu. Hatzlocha to both of you!

=====
=====

Re: MZL on the 90-day highway

Posted by mzl - 21 Oct 2018 21:17

[Dov wrote on 21 Oct 2018 20:54:](#)

I do not think that I wrote that "you may not be bipolar". Rather, I think I wrote that *I don't know* if you are really bipolar. There is a difference. One is about me, the other is about you. If you learned that distinction instead of having a hand at it, I believe a lot of your communication would be much more effective - probably at home w wife, kids, shulmembers and friends. I think you may not realize that you're confusing the two, and I think that leads to much of your feeling insulted by others: you may take it as a given that they are judging you just the way you judge others. You may not even understand that it's judgement going on, at all. But most folks - here, at least - are more kind and realize that we aren't certain about *that* much.

And, correct, bipolar *isn't* an excuse for baiting people or annoying them. If yoh are bipolar, then it's your job to work on not doing that as much. You're even better at formulating excuses than I am, it appears. So that's probably one reason your efforts occasionally may never even get off the ground. An open mind will go a very long way toward helping you with seeing excuses as avoidance. I have bH sponsored a couple of addicts with bipolar, so I know it's patient, slow work to get balanced.

I hope you enjoy Cordnoy, if you meet him. You both have a lot of good stuff to share, I'm sure. He will have to be comfortable with his own safety, of course, and that's not always easy to assess. Nu. Hatzlocha to both of you!

I share all the time on the forum.

I don't think his safety will be an issue, I just hope he doesn't get too bored.

=====
=====

Re: MZL on the 90-day highway
Posted by Dov - 21 Oct 2018 21:26

And if he gets bored, then what?

=====

====

Re: MZL on the 90-day highway
Posted by mzl - 21 Oct 2018 21:41

[Dov wrote on 21 Oct 2018 21:26:](#)

And if he gets bored, then what?

I just hate to impose on people.

=====

Re: MZL on the 90-day highway
Posted by mzl - 22 Oct 2018 20:16

I was reading cordnoy's posts because we are supposed to get together and I know how he feels about readers doing their homework ...

In one of them he says something which everybody says and that has never sat well with me, namely that one should never expect anything from the wife and only give. More or less. More accurately, this is advertised as being a necessary behavior for addicts.

It occurred to me that over the years I successfully attacked my desire, to the point that the only source of difficulties is desire for my wife, and even that has diminished a lot. But the behavior is still there: every now and then I want her and sometimes she satisfies me right away, sometimes she strings me along, and sometimes she never comes through. And out of those times some portion ends with me acting out.

So I was thinking that the trouble with this behavior is that I regularly try to use my wife for pleasure, but my wife is not "usable" because she's not really a robot, and I'm not really a user because I love her and I back down. So as I do this behavior over months and years the result necessarily has to be regular acting out. I'm creating the result. I should act out.

So it would make sense to view my wife as someone who can never give in that area because the alternative in our situation is bad over the long run.

The problem with this is that I run to get her the things she needs but she doesn't like giving in that area.

In order to be consistent with my approach so far the logical thing to do is to attempt to eliminate my desire for her completely, under all circumstances. I think it might work but I'm not sure where that leaves me.

She would be pretty happy with a 100% platonic relationship. I still don't like the idea somehow ...

=====

Re: MZL on the 90-day highway
Posted by mzl - 22 Oct 2018 20:36

I think the answer is that if you think a wife who wants to inspire desire is better than one who doesn't then you should marry that kind. If you have the other kind then either consider yourself lucky but put up with the regular acting out, or consider yourself unlucky and either move on or protect yourself like you do when you are on the internet ...

=====

Re: MZL on the 90-day highway
Posted by mzl - 22 Oct 2018 20:43

Or consider yourself lucky and rise above the challenge, which strikes me as trying to be a malach.

=====

Re: MZL on the 90-day highway
Posted by mzl - 22 Oct 2018 20:46

I guess I'm dealing with the classic aftermath of a bipolar issue. Achievement no longer motivates me so the situation I created for myself no longer seems worthwhile.

I think everybody in my life is better off if I pretend to be dumb and just expect failure.

=====

Re: MZL on the 90-day highway
Posted by cordnoy - 22 Oct 2018 21:09

[mzl wrote on 22 Oct 2018 20:16:](#)

I was reading cordnoy's posts because we are supposed to get together and I know how he feels about readers doing their homework ...

In one of them he says something which everybody says and that has never sat well with me, namely that one should never expect anything from the wife and only give. More or less. More accurately, this is advertised as being a necessary behavior for addicts.

It occurred to me that over the years I successfully attacked my desire, to the point that the only source of difficulties is desire for my wife, and even that has diminished a lot. But the behavior is still there: every now and then I want her and sometimes she satisfies me right away, sometimes she strings me along, and sometimes she never comes through. And out of those times some portion ends with me acting out.

So I was thinking that the trouble with this behavior is that I regularly try to use my wife for pleasure, but my wife is not "usable" because she's not really a robot, and I'm not really a user because I love her and I back down. So as I do this behavior over months and years the result necessarily has to be regular acting out. I should act out.

So it would make sense to view my wife as someone who can never give in that area because the alternative in our situation is bad over the long run.

The problem with this is that I run to get her the things she needs but she doesn't like giving in that area.

In order to be consistent with my approach so far the logical thing to do is to attempt to eliminate my desire for her completely, under all circumstances. I think it might work but I'm not sure where that leaves me.

She would be pretty happy with a 100% platonic relationship. I still don't like the idea somehow ..,

Il like the first part (doin' your hw, readin' my posts), but I don't fully understand the second part.

Now, I know for those who aren't addicted, there is some give and take available, but for an addict like myself, I need to be focused on purely givin'. Does that help me in the bedroom? If I keep to it, it does!. Will I be sexually satisfied? Difficult question to answer. Will there be sex? Easier question to answer. Very little. How long can one do this for? One day at a time. Am I pleased with this mehalech? Not really. Is there an alternative? Yes, go back to lustin'.

=====
=====