GYE - Guard Your Eyes

Generated: 16 August, 2025, 08:02

Parenthesis

Posted by Trouble - 10 Oct 2019 14:24

so, I said the parenthesis in zakkah yesterday - several times. the first time, before yk actually started, I was a bit distracted and I skipped over it. heck, I never actually "did it." I mean, it was there for the taking, but for some reason I held back. I even told her about those damned parenthesis! she understood. but then once yk got rolling, and I had the opportunity to say it several more times, I actually said those words. I told God and myself that it really made little difference. yes, the frummies and the midrash quoters will spew that stuff about actual cohabitation with an idolatress, a gentile woman! and even one who is not your actual partner. I am actually reminded now that she was in fact Jewish, a bas mitzvah, and all. got called to the Torah, wow! but I reasoned that this justification crap was going too far. you think my wife would go for the technicality that there wasn't penetration!? bottom line, I was too close for comfort, I mean it was comfortable and more, but it's not a position I wanna be found in. I certainly don't wanna be caught that way, but besides that, I'd rather not even entertain that possibility, although I actually found those thoughts slipping in several times throughout the day. am I being clear? I hope so.

I'd like to make a second half post to this and that will be about the zakkah in general. why all the women, Keri, etc. talk? but I'm running outta time now. sorry for the non-capitalization. my notes app doesn't do that for some reason.

====

Re: Parenthesis

Posted by cordnoy - 18 Oct 2019 13:25

Dov says another great (in my opinion) point: if these guys actually, truly, really, honestly believe that this is the worst sin in the world, they wouldn't do it. They'd find some other addiction to get involved with. Otherwise, they are crock full of sh*t.

If one, as a prerequisite to masturbatin', would be compelled to steal, say lashon hara, punch someone in the face, eat milchigs twenty minutes after fleishigs (God forbid), they wouldn't do it. Why?

====

Re: Parenthesis

Posted by higher - 18 Oct 2019 13:43

cordnoy wrote on 18 Oct 2019 13:25:

Dov says another great (in my opinion) point: if these guys actually, truly, really, honestly believe that this is the worst sin in the world, they wouldn't do it. They'd find some other addiction to get involved with. Otherwise, they are crock full of sh*t.

If one, as a prerequisite to masturbatin', would be compelled to steal, say lashon hara, punch someone in the face, eat milchigs twenty minutes after fleishigs (God forbid), they wouldn't do it. Why?

i respect you tremendously cords.

not that that makes a diff to anyone.

but i believe this qoute is not addressing what i said.

all right.

almost.

(i wasnt quoted so i cant say it was intended to either).

This is actually dragging me into the area that i didnt want to go in.

the klausenberger rebbe zt"l, (in his collected shiurim chumash rashi-"shefa chaim), brings exactly this idea as the reason why it IS possible to do teshuva for us.

because we dont really relate to the choimer of this sin. and even if we intellectually KNOW that its the WORST sin (yeah the whole deal) we still dont understand it (or feel it) im pretty sure he says thats why er and onan were punished with misah min hashomayim

(something unmatched, that the pusuk immediately describes the punishment unlike any other sin in the torah including murder-almost like the proverbial "lightning bolt") is because they understood the gravity of the sin.

?obviously tzaddikim in tanach and their actual nisyonos are beyond our comprehension and thier sins are relative to thier high levels (no one should think they were just low individuals, chas v'shalom. but regardless it is written for us to learn from on our level as well)

but all that has NOTHING to do with that it IS indeed the worst sin in the torah.

Generated: 16 August, 2025, 08:02

?perhaps we are judged (more) leniently because of the reasons i mentioned above. but the actual gravity of the sin for its own (value?) remains. there is more to go into including the moreh heter aspect but i think this suffices.

by the way have a great shabbos everyone! and yom tov!

p.s. as i am editing, at the risk of being disingenuous, i just realized that nadav and avihu were also immediately punished for thier chet. i believe that its different over there but i dont want to debate that now and i think its off topic. maybe another time.

====

Re: Parenthesis

Posted by Tzvi5 - 18 Oct 2019 13:45

cordnov wrote on 18 Oct 2019 13:25:

Dov says another great (in my opinion) point: if these guys actually, truly, really, honestly believe that this is the worst sin in the world, they wouldn't do it. They'd find some other addiction to get involved with. Otherwise, they are crock full of sh*t.

If one, as a prerequisite to masturbatin', would be compelled to steal, say lashon hara, punch someone in the face, eat milchigs twenty minutes after fleishigs (God forbid), they wouldn't do it. Why?

Because all sins are not on his to do list.

====

Re: Parenthesis

Posted by cordnoy - 18 Oct 2019 13:59

Tzvi5 wrote on 18 Oct 2019 13:45:

<u>cordnoy wrote on 18 Oct 2019 13:25</u>:

Dov says another great (in my opinion) point: if these guys actually, truly, really, honestly believe that this is the worst sin in the world, they wouldn't do it. They'd find some other addiction to get involved with. Otherwise, they are crock full of sh*t.

If one, as a prerequisite to masturbatin', would be compelled to steal, say lashon hara, punch someone in the face, eat milchigs twenty minutes after fleishigs (God forbid), they wouldn't do it. Why?

Because all sins are not on his to do list.

You missed the point (and perhaps I wasn't clear in the pronoun). If that (the requirements I laid out) was the prerequisite, they wouldn't do it (the masturbation).

====

Re: Parenthesis

Posted by i-man - 18 Oct 2019 14:00

I personally enjoy tefilas zakai (despite having struggled with destructive guilt for much of my journey)

1st of all it's so relatable ,I dont need to be focused and have researched to understand the proper pirush like so mmanyof the othertefilas that are more abstract to a simple mind.

2nd it feels good to admit to what I did, similar to other methods of recovery where you need to admit .

====

Re: Parenthesis

Posted by mggsbms - 18 Oct 2019 14:55

higher wrote on 17 Oct 2019 17:21:

cordnoy wrote on 17 Oct 2019 01:36:

Trouble wrote on 16 Oct 2019 23:12:

Trouble wrote on 10 Oct 2019 14:24:

so, I said the parenthesis in zakkah yesterday - several times. the first time, before yk actually started, I was a bit distracted and I skipped over it. heck, I never actually "did it." I mean, it was there for the taking, but for some reason I held back. I even told her about those damned parenthesis! she understood. but then once yk got rolling, and I had the opportunity to say it several more times, I actually said those words. I told God and myself that it really made little difference. yes, the frummies and the midrash quoters will spew that stuff about actual cohabitation with an idolatress, a gentile woman! and even one who is not your actual partner. I am actually reminded now that she was in fact Jewish, a bas mitzvah, and all. got called to the Torah, wow! but I reasoned that this justification crap was going too far. you think my wife would go for the technicality that there wasn't penetration!? bottom line, I was too close for comfort, I mean it was comfortable and more, but it's not a position I wanna be found in. I certainly don't wanna be caught that way, but besides that, I'd rather not even entertain that possibility, although I actually found those thoughts slipping in several times throughout the day. am I being clear? I hope so.

I'd like to make a second half post to this and that will be about the zakkah in general. why all the women, Keri, etc. talk? but I'm running outta time now. sorry for the non-capitalization. my notes app doesn't do that for some reason.

my second half... what's up with all this looking at women business? this was before the advent of the internet. hell, Larry Flynt wasn't even conceived yet. porn!? tank tops, thongs and bikinis? the women were dressed better than today's men. men wear tighter and shorter pants than the women of yesteryear. was there even a chance of observing a glimpse of skin centuries ago? what were they all looking at? did they need an asifah in the Vilna ghetto that the men should walk on the other side of the city? what were they fantasizing about? I thought all the experts told us that porn is ruining our marriages with false expectations. these guys were erect every other day and they never saw a centerfold in their lives!

and what's up with only the men? the women never got the hots for those young studs!? when

Generated: 16 August, 2025, 08:02

did that start? is it an abnormality of the new generation? why don't they need to cry for masturbating under their covers? and dare I venture into controversial areas. what happened with all the homosexuals? did they show up for tefillas zakkah? were they disinvited? were they so frowned upon that they weren't even allowed into the synagogue?

several conclusions from this perverted lowlife:

- 1. it's not the internet, it's us!
- 2. it ain't the internet, so cut it out with the filters (maybe).
- 3. women didn't masturbate.
- 4. men didn't look at other men (as a rule).
- 5. cheating was rampant.
- 6. unless you're majorly addicted, just wait for zakkah.
- 7. let me have it, pile on!

Trouble does bring up some valid points. We might not like the way he spells 'em out, but they do need to be addressed and cannot simply be dismissed. I, sadly, will not be that guy. He does make certain assumptions which are just that - assumptions, not facts. He cannot deny that there is a **gravity** to these actions although they might have (**not-**correction higher's) been rampant. And, obviously, the internet generation has made this entire issue so much more prevalent, for everyone.

listen trouble brought up private chat so i will as well. i just want to add 1 little point in terms of the gravity of this sin (masterbating).

before i do that i want to make a disclaimer to all the readers that throughout the doros, every gadol that has written about this topic has stated it IS possible to do teshuvah on this aveirah. so no one get yiush, the following is technical for the most part.

i related to trouble how even if this sin wasnt prevalent in earlier doros, its such a big aveirah

that it warrents being front and center in tefillas zakkah. (there are also many bechinos to this aveirah but im not getting into that) to support myself i said over the famous zohar saying how its there isnt teshuva for such an aveirah (again, remember the above.) mr. trouble seemed to be unimpressed with my bringing of the source. i am unsure if it was because of my lack of bekius in zohar, my lack of understanding in zohar, or my lack of understanding in general, or all 3.(which are true btw) regardless, i found something in the kitzur shulchan aruch written for simple jews like me. so here goes. (excuse my poor lashon kodesh)

"it is forbidden to emit semen in vain and this sin is worse then ALL of the sins of the torah." etc. see there (siman 151) as he is mayrech. he also brings various tikkunim there.

sound pretty darn harsh, no?

so yeah-no proof from zakkah that this was prevalent.

the fact that it was done-at all-is deserving enough.

Maybe someone wrote this already, the meforshim on shilchon aruch write that it is lav davka, since it says in sefer chasidim that one should masturbate if he is at risk of doing the act with a nidah or erva.

I was thinking that if that's the case, then maybe if someone is at the risk of looking at porn, which one could argue is a more serious aveira, he should rather masturbate. I think this is a serious shaila which should be presented to a more harah.

====

Re: Parenthesis

Posted by higher - 18 Oct 2019 15:07

This is in response to mggsbms:

not sure if this is a machlokes and the kitzur is paskeining (based on the stimas haloshon) either way its severity is very special thats Ichol hadeos. i will bln look up the meforshim on shulchan aruch.

thanks.

also, is this talking about someone that is going to masterbate anyway? (in terms of motzi zera. what does that mean "hes at risk of doing it with an erva or nida" and if so, obviously its better to do it outside then inside a nida...no?)

GYE - Guard Your Eyes Generated: 16 August, 2025, 08:02 (no, no one mentioned this before and i appreciate the direct response.) Re: Parenthesis Posted by Gevura Shebyesod - 18 Oct 2019 15:50 I believe that "heter" is only when the person is at risk of an Issur Kareis. I don't think porn falls into that category. Re: Parenthesis Posted by sleepy - 18 Oct 2019 17:28 Trouble wrote on 16 Oct 2019 23:12: Trouble wrote on 10 Oct 2019 14:24: my second half... and what's up with only the men? the women never got the hots for those young studs!?

and what's up with only the men? the women never got the hots for those young studs!? when did that start? is it an abnormality of the new generation? why don't they need to cry for masturbating under their covers? and dare I venture into controversial areas. what happened with all the homosexuals? did they show up for tefillas zakkah? were they disinvited? were they so frowned upon that they weren't even allowed into the synagogue?

several conclusions from this perverted lowlife:

Generaled. To Addust, 2020. Up.02	Generated:	16 August.	2025.	08:02
-----------------------------------	------------	------------	-------	-------

1. it's not the internet, it's us!
2. it ain't the internet, so cut it out with the filters (maybe).
3. women didn't masturbate.
4. men didn't look at other men (as a rule).
5. cheating was rampant.
6. unless you're majorly addicted, just wait for zakkah.
7. let me have it, pile on!
and why is there no mention about siliquin dolls?
====
Re: Parenthesis

Trouble wrote on 16 Oct 2019 23:12:

Posted by sleepy - 18 Oct 2019 17:44

my second half... what's up with all this looking at women business? this was before the advent of the internet. hell, Larry Flynt wasn't even conceived yet. porn!? tank tops, thongs and bikinis? the women were dressed better than today's men. men wear tighter and shorter pants than the women of yesteryear. was there even a chance of observing a glimpse of skin centuries ago? what were they all looking at? did they need an asifah in the Vilna ghetto that the men should walk on the other side of the city? what were they fantasizing about? I thought all the experts told us that porn is ruining our marriages with false expectations. these guys were erect every other day and they never saw a centerfold in their lives!

Generated: 16 August, 2025, 08:02

and what's up with only the men? the women never got the hots for those young studs!? when did that start? is it an abnormality of the new generation? why don't they need to cry for masturbating under their covers? and dare I venture into controversial areas. what happened with all the homosexuals? did they show up for tefillas zakkah? were they disinvited? were they so frowned upon that they weren't even allowed into the synagogue?

i think they didnt have such issues, but the one who composed the tefila had ruach hakodesh and knew that there would be a gye community and formulated the tefila on our behalf, and even took the trouble to add the parenthesis for those who need it

====

Re: Parenthesis

Posted by sleepy - 18 Oct 2019 17:48

-____

Trouble wrote on 16 Oct 2019 23:12:

what happened with all the homosexuals? did they show up for tefillas zakkah? were they disinvited? were they so frowned upon that they weren't even allowed into the synagogue?

GYE - Guard Your Eyes Generated: 16 August, 2025, 08:02

and what about beastility and incest and silicon dolls?maybe the one who made the tefila didnt want to mention things that will make people nauesous since everyone is coming in with a full stomach.
======================================
Re: Parenthesis Posted by sleepy - 18 Oct 2019 17:52
cordnoy wrote on 18 Oct 2019 13:59:
Tzvi5 wrote on 18 Oct 2019 13:45:
cordnoy wrote on 18 Oct 2019 13:25:
Dov says another great (in my opinion) point: if these guys actually, truly, really, honestly believe that this is the worst sin in the world, they wouldn't do it. They'd find some other addiction to get involved with. Otherwise, they are crock full of sh*t.
If one, as a prerequisite to masturbatin', would be compelled to steal, say lashon hara, punch someone in the face, eat milchigs twenty minutes after fleishigs (God forbid), they wouldn't do it. Why?
Because all sins are not on his to do list.
You missed the point (and perhaps I wasn't clear in the pronoun). If that (the requirements I laid out) was the prerequisite, they wouldn't do it (the masturbation).
i think you(or dov) still missed the above point
===== ====

Generated: 16 A	Nuaust. 202	25. 08:02
-----------------	-------------	-----------

Re: Parenthesis Posted by sleepy - 18 Oct 2019 17:55
higher wrote on 18 Oct 2019 13:43:
cordnoy wrote on 18 Oct 2019 13:25:
Dov says another great (in my opinion) point: if these guys actually, truly, really, honestly believe that this is the worst sin in the world, they wouldn't do it. They'd find some other addiction to get involved with. Otherwise, they are crock full of sh*t.
If one, as a prerequisite to masturbatin', would be compelled to steal, say lashon hara, punch someone in the face, eat milchigs twenty minutes after fleishigs (God forbid), they wouldn't do it. Why?
i respect you tremendously cords.
not that that makes a diff to anyone.
but i believe this qoute is not addressing what i said.
====
Re: Parenthesis Posted by sleepy - 18 Oct 2019 17:59
cordnoy wrote on 18 Oct 2019 13:59:

Tzvi5 wrote on 18	3 Oct	2019	13:45:
-------------------	-------	------	--------

cordnov wrote on 18 Oct 2019 13:25:

Dov says another great (in my opinion) point: if these guys actually, truly, really, honestly believe that this is the worst sin in the world, they wouldn't do it. They'd find some other addiction to get involved with. Otherwise, they are crock full of sh*t.

If one, as a prerequisite to masturbatin', would be compelled to steal, say lashon hara, punch someone in the face, eat milchigs twenty minutes after fleishigs (God forbid), they wouldn't do it. Why?

Because all sins are not on his to do list.

You missed the point (and perhaps I wasn't clear in the pronoun). If that (the requirements I laid out) was the prerequisite, they wouldn't do it (the masturbation).

higher wrote:

"but i believe this qoute is not addressing what i said."

i think it was still not addressed

====