

"Creepy" sexual attractions

Posted by Human being - 02 Dec 2022 06:55

DISCLAIMER! This post in no way shape or form is encouraging those with "alt' feelings to be complaisant, and just accept themselves. When I say we need to accept ourselves, it doesn't mean you don't need help.

DISCLAIMER! If there is any reason to believe you may need assistance controlling your desires, GET HELP. Don't be ashamed, we don't choose our feelings. You a human being who deserves love, respect, concern, safety, acceptance and support just like the rest of us. Most of us with "alt" desires have a history of challenges that deserves and sometimes mandates reaching out.

So many of us humans have desires that we think "aren't regular/common and retarded to have".

I've met and spoke to so many people who are attracted to so many different things. Teens, ssa, older people, specific looking boys, specific looking girls, children (I've never met anyone personally but its definitely out there, as i will bring in the links and foot notes in the next post) an age group of boys, an age group of girls, specific nationality's, tall, short random fetishes, fake and fantasy fetishes too. In other words every different type of thing on the planet.

Thing is, it seems from my various conversations and interactions here on gye and in general, that many of us feel like we are hiding "a really bad secret" of "really disturbing feelings and desires" that cannot under any circumstances be talked about or shared, for fear of us "creeps" getting "exposed" .

Let me tell everyone a secret..... they are regular and common feelings and desires, we are just embarrassed to share them. We hide them, squash them and make believe they don't exist for fear of someone "exposing us".

Yes, you got it right. Random/weird/unique sexual fantasies are common human feelings/desires. Fetishes, Pedophilia (sexual attraction to prepubescents (less common, but your not alone)), hemophilia (sexual attraction to post-pubescent teens ages 11-14), SSA, Various specific attractions, and ephebophilia (sexual attraction to teenagers), are COMMON FEELINGS. Its common to FEEL that way. Its NOT OK to ACT that way. I'm not saying its the norm. I'm just saying its not out of the norm and unexpected. So is various random fetishes and fantasies. Yes "THAT" included. (whatever your "THAT" may be.) Your not creepy or a molester.

I personally am attracted to only teenagers, (boys or girls) (ephebophilia). It is not "retarded and creepy that im attracted to them" It would be creepy if i acted on my desire. I am not bad, crazy, weird, or "an other" Not the norm, but not crazy or inherent pervert.

We are just scared to share our feelings and desires because were scared of people thinking we are creeps/molesters etc. You are NOT a creep/molester if you have these FEELINGS or DESIRES. A molester is someone who ACTS on his feelings or desires.

*You are normal and healthy person with some unhealthy parts of you. You deserve to be loved and cared about and embraced and respected and looked up to, you can be a counselor, go to the mikveh, hug your kids, kiss your kids, pat your camper on the back. **(If you need help controlling your desires/feelings, or you feel that going to the mikveh or patting your campers on your back-will cause your desires/feelings to be aroused or triggered within you- and you don't know how to regulate those unhealthy feelings/desires in a healthy way- then please do not go to mikvah or touch those that can trigger you. But there's nothing for you to be ashamed about. We don't choose our feelings and desires.)*** Relax about being "exposed" breath easy, share yourself with your wife, with your friends, with yourself. -if you share your feelings, you have way way way less of a chance of acting on them. Share your feelings, be open be honest, *get help. Reach out. Your loved. While your a part of you may not be "normal", YOU are normal and healthy and theres nothing to be ashamed about.*

Please, chime in everyone!

=====
=====

Re: "Creepy" sexual attractions

Posted by sleepy - 01 Jan 2023 05:57

[sleepy wrote on 01 Jan 2023 05:42:](#)

[jackthejew wrote on 31 Dec 2022 16:37:](#)

[Human being wrote on 30 Dec 2022 20:06:](#)

Did you read the article? it seems from this response that you haven't. You are mistaken. Although I don't blame you. Many other people also misunderstand what "normalizing pedophilia" means. I'm not going to get into why so many people make the mistake your making. That's a different conversation. ---You are referring to "normalizing pedophilia" as 'normalizing child sexual abuse' When we say "normalizing pedophilia", it is referring to the person and his desire. *Not normalizing child sexual abuse.*

Id love for those responding to first read the article. if you have, my apologies.

I haven't because my filter doesn't allow it. However, if you can show me that the overwhelming majority of sexual studies proffesors now will say that one who ENGAGES [yes, engages] in hardcore BDSM is an indicator he should seek help, I'd be surprised. Because it's been so thouroughly normalized that it's now looked at as nothing wrong to act upon either. Just sexual activity (actually violence) between 2 consenting adults. For the same reason (completion of the process of normilization) Trans- questioning youth mostly suffering from the social contagion are referred to psychiatrists not for treatment but to be put on the track of irreversible hormones and mutilation. As society goes through the normalization process, the thought then arises that treatment must be different, open, and accepting as well. From there the short jump is soon made to changing treatment into all-out acceptance of the "fact": he's a she.

Many of these same sex professors, it should be noted, have no problem with 5 year olds decididing they're non-binary. If they can make that dtermination at that age, why can't they consent to sex? So expose them to drag shows as early as possible. Then eliminate statutory rape. Then eliminate molestation as a special catergy of crime.(These are all steps that have been pushed for by advocates calling for MAPS to replace pedophile designation.) I have also put up a comment on something that's been on my mind on this topic basically since the beginning. It's on my thread: guardyoureyes.com/forum/19-Introduce-Yourself/375514-Enough-

[is-Enough?limit=15&start=30#390358](#)

beautifu that gets 5 karmas!

please pm me if you want the negative ones or the positive ones.

=====

Re: "Creepy" sexual attractions

Posted by Human being - 02 Jan 2023 02:36

[jackthejew wrote on 31 Dec 2022 16:37:](#)

[Human being wrote on 30 Dec 2022 20:06:](#)

Did you read the article? it seems from this response that you haven't. You are mistaken. Although I don't blame you. Many other people also misunderstand what "normalizing pedophilia" means. I'm not going to get into why so many people make the mistake your making. That's a different conversation. ---You are referring to "normalizing pedophilia" as 'normalizing child sexual abuse' When we say "normalizing pedophilia", it is referring to the person and his desire. *Not normalizing child sexual abuse.*

Id love for those responding to first read the article. if you have, my apologies.

I haven't because my filter doesn't allow it. However, if you can show me that the overwhelming majority of sexual studies proffesors now will say that one who ENGAGES [yes, engages] in hardcore BDSM is an indicator he should seek help, I'd be surprised. Because it's been so thouroughly normalized that it's now looked at as nothing wrong to act upon either. Just sexual activity (actually violence) between 2 consenting adults. For the same reason (completion of the process of normilization) Trans- questioning youth mostly suffering from the social contagion are referred to psychiatrists not for treatment but to be put on the track of irreversible hormones and mutilation. As society goes through the normalization process, the thought then arises that treatment must be different, open, and accepting as well. From there the short jump is soon made to changing treatment into all-out acceptance of the "fact": he's a she.

Many of these same sex professors, it should be noted, have no problem with 5 year olds

deciding they're non-binary. If they can make that determination at that age, why can't they consent to sex? So expose them to drag shows as early as possible. Then eliminate statutory rape. Then eliminate molestation as a special category of crime. (These are all steps that have been pushed for by advocates calling for MAPS to replace pedophile designation.) I have also put up a comment on something that's been on my mind on this topic basically since the beginning. It's on my thread: guardyoureyes.com/forum/19-Introduce-Yourself/375514-Enough-is-Enough?limit=15&start=30#390358

You seem to be saying many things here. I don't understand your response though. You're still making the same mistake. You refer to bdsm. Where as long as there is consent, there's no problem. You can rail against the immorality, but they do it and we do it. The definition of "normalizing pedophilia" remains. It means normalizing the person and his desires, *not normalizing childhood sexual abuse*.

I totally don't understand your 1+1 = 2 over here. It seems you are saying, Thinking of bdsm as totally normal = normalizing sexual abuse of a minor?? By bdsm there is consent. Minors can't have consent. Where's the parallel?

=====

Re: "Creepy" sexual attractions

Posted by Human being - 02 Jan 2023 02:49

[jackthejew wrote on 31 Dec 2022 16:37:](#)

(These are all steps that have been pushed for by advocates calling for MAPS to replace pedophile designation.)

Absolutely agree, it should absolutely be changed to MAPS, because the world of psychiatry and CBT since the 1970s has screwed up the meaning of pedophile so badly, that most people now think the definition of pedophile is, "child sex abuser" or "potential child sex abuser". Imagine we called those who desire women as "rapists" or "potential rapists".

that's the equivalent. Its sad that so many people just don't get it. A pedophile is a normal person who just like you can control his desires, and just like a person that rapes someone goes to jail and is judged, so too a pedophile that molests someone goes to jail and is judged. Instead, because of 1970 science, we are still calling pedophiles as "child sex abuser" and making them live terrible, judged lives where they are assumed guilty until proven innocent, even though their desire to act inappropriately on their desires,.....is the same strong as yours.

Anyone who has courage should befriend pedophiles -aka those attracted to minors- *not child sex abusers*. It shows courage to show up for someone who the ~~scientific medical~~ psychiatry world has ruined and never given a chance at life. You are the same dangerous as a pedophile. You are same innocent until proven guilty. You are the same human being that has desires he cant act on as a pedophile. Pedophiles don't abuse children. Abusers do. Do you know that most molestation happens by those that aren't pedophiles? Did you know that?

=====

Re: "Creepy" sexual attractions

Posted by jackthejew - 02 Jan 2023 08:39

[Human being wrote on 02 Jan 2023 02:49:](#)

[jackthejew wrote on 31 Dec 2022 16:37:](#)

(These are all steps that have been pushed for by advocates calling for MAPS to replace pedophile designation.)

Absolutely agree, its should absolutely be changed to MAPS, because the world of

physiatry and CBT since the 1970s has screwed up the meaning of pedophile so badly, that most people now think the definition of pedophile is, "child sex abuser" or "potential child sex abuser". Imagine we called those who desire woman as "rapists" or "potential rapists". that's the equivalent. Its sad that so many people just don't get it. A pedophile is a normal person who just like you can control his desires, and just like a person that rapes someone goes to jail and is judged, so too a pedophile that molests someone goes to jail and is judged. Instead, because of 1970 science, we are still calling pedophiles as "child sex abuser" and making them live terrible, judged lives where they are assumed guilty until proven innocent, even though their desire to act inappropriately on their desires,.....is the same strong as yours.

Anyone who has courage should befriend pedophiles -aka those attracted to minors- *not child sex abusers*. It shows courage to show up for someone who the ~~scientific~~ medical physiatry world has ruined and never given a chance at life. You are the same dangerous as a pedophile. You are same innocent until proven guilty. You are the same human being that has desires he cant act on as a pedophile. Pedophiles don't abuse children. Abusers do. Do you know that most molestation happens by those that aren't pedophiles? Did you know that?

The point being that if you look at the rest of what these people are pushing for, you can see that their intended "normalization" goes PRETTY far.

The rest of this post has been pretty endlessly hashed out over and over on this thread.

=====
=====

Re: "Creepy" sexual attractions

Posted by jackthejew - 02 Jan 2023 08:47

[Human being wrote on 02 Jan 2023 02:36:](#)

[jackthejew wrote on 31 Dec 2022 16:37:](#)

[Human being wrote on 30 Dec 2022 20:06:](#)

Did you read the article? it seems from this response that you haven't. You are mistaken.

Although I don't blame you. Many other people also misunderstand what "normalizing pedophilia" means. I'm not going to get into why so many people make the mistake your making. That's a different conversation. ---You are referring to "normalizing pedophilia" as 'normalizing child sexual abuse' When we say "normalizing pedophilia", it is referring to the person and his desire. *Not normalizing child sexual abuse.*

Id love for those responding to first read the article. if you have, my apologies.

I haven't because my filter doesn't allow it. However, if you can show me that the overwhelming majority of sexual studies proffesors now will say that one who ENGAGES [yes, engages] in hardcore BDSM is an indicator he should seek help, I'd be surprised. Because it's been so thouroughly normalized that it's now looked at as nothing wrong to act upon either. Just sexual activity (actually violence) between 2 consenting adults. For the same reason (completion of the process of normilization) Trans- questioning youth mostly suffering from the social contagion are referred to psychiatrists not for treatment but to be put on the track of irreversible hormones and mutilation. As society goes through the normalization process, the thought then arises that treatment must be different, open, and accepting as well. From there the short jump is soon made to changing treatment into all-out acceptance of the "fact": he's a she.

Many of these same sex professors, it should be noted, have no problem with 5 year olds decididing they're non-binary. If they can make that dtermination at that age, why can't they consent to sex? So expose them to drag shows as early as possible. Then eliminate statutory rape. Then eliminate molestation as a special catergy of crime.(These are all steps that have been pushed for by advocates calling for MAPS to replace pedophile designation.) I have also put up a comment on something that's been on my mind on this topic basically since the beginning. It's on my thread: guardyoureyes.com/forum/19-Introduce-Yourself/375514-Enough-is-Enough?limit=15&start=30#390358

You seem to be saying many things here. I don't understand your response though. Your still making the same mistake. You refer to bdsm. Where as long as there is consent, there's no problem. You can rail against the immorality, but they do they and we do we. The definition of "normalizing pedophilia" remains. It means normalizing the person and his desires, *not normalizing childhood sexual abuse.*

I totally don't understand your $1+1 = 2$ over here. It seems you are saying, Thinking of bdsm as totally normal = normalizing sexual abuse of a minor?? By bdsm there is consent. Minors cant have consent. Where's the parallel?

I don't subscribe to the idea that there's nothing wrong with BDSM, my point however is that we do see many of the same people who pushed for the normalization of extreme BDSM involved in the MAPS discussion as well as the trans kids movement. I don't think that's a coincidence.

Anyone who "consents" to hardcore S&M is generally because in 99.999% of cases there are major, deep rooted issues to the point that I almost don't know if such a person can be considered willing to care for themselves. Because they are literally destroying their body. Masochism is a condition, as is Sadism. It's not "normal" just because the Shades trilogy pushed many Americans into self-destructive behavior

=====
=====

Re: "Creepy" sexual attractions

Posted by Human being - 02 Jan 2023 22:34

There are 2 points here that i feel i must make clear. Because we seem to be going in circles.

A) Even though we have gone in circles endlessly about feelings not being actions and actions not being feelings, you continue saying that normalizing pedophilia = normalizing molest. This is a false premise. The same false as saying normalizing sexual desire = normalizing rape.

So even though we go around and around in circles, you stick to your "danger" of normalizing something that isn't intrinsically dangerous. So you are right. I don't see a point of going in circles if we aren't talking to each others points.

B) You keep on using the word "normalizing" of bdsm (and any other desire) as "proof" that look how far they want to go with "normalization". Yet you ignore the fact, that no matter how bad/immoral/unhealthy bdsm is, it has no comparison to molest/rape. As progressivism normalizes more and more obscene actions, they have become more and more hard line on consent, with phrases such as statutory rape, the #metoo movement, #rapeculture, woman power, child protective laws, and so much more, they are trying very very hard (way more then in the past) to make consent a way bigger deal then it has ever been.

And therefore, normalizing the actions of consensual bdsm, *in no way shape or form*, is any proof to normalizing child sex abuse/molest. Yet you continue to bring that as a "proof". So yes we are going in circles, and not talking to each other. So I think we should exit the traffic circle. That being said, id love to continue the conversation with anyone and everyone. (including you! -just as long as were not going in circles, I'm getting dizzy)

=====
=====

Re: "Creepy" sexual attractions

Posted by frank.lee - 02 Jan 2023 23:30

IMHO, progressives making a big deal about consent is vapid virtue signaling. They don't care about rape or women's rights. Convo for another time.

One difference between interest in children vs. adults is that it is unfortunately easier to abuse a child than an adult, so the danger of fantasy thoughts turning to actions is higher. Agree?

Do you think that if someone is attracted to children, they should or should not curb their thoughts of such acting out, or bodies, etc? Putting aside your sh*ta about how shame can cause harm. Intrinsically??

=====

=====

Re: "Creepy" sexual attractions

Posted by jackthejew - 03 Jan 2023 14:05

[Human being wrote on 02 Jan 2023 22:34:](#)

There are 2 points here that i feel i must make clear. Because we seem to be going in circles.

A) Even though we have gone in circles endlessly about feelings not being actions and actions not being feelings, you continue saying that normalizing pedophilia = normalizing molest. This is a false premise. The same false as saying normalizing sexual desire = normalizing rape.

So even though we go around and around in circles, you stick to your "danger" of normalizing something that isn't intrinsically dangerous. So you are right. I don't see a point of going in circles if we aren't talking to each others points.

G-d gave us sexual desire, so while lusting is wrong, lusting after a woman is natural, and progression from that point is uncommon. Where it could happen, Chazal put in guidelines. On

the other hand, pedophilic feelings are 99% of the time caused by some underlying issues. Let's think about what that means: there is some underlying hole that someone with an unusual attraction is trying to fill. And the way he's trying to self-medicate with isn't going to help it. So the progression of more intense obsession and attraction is logical. Just like in any substance abuse case the individual is trying to "Fill the hole" with his drug of choice. And we know how that progression goes. That's the difference in my opinion. Doesn't mean every guy with a pedophilic desire will C"V molest someone, but to compare it to lusting after women on the street is wrong (unless you refer to stalking&more)

=====

=====

Re: "Creepy" sexual attractions

Posted by jackthejew - 03 Jan 2023 14:16

[Human being wrote on 02 Jan 2023 22:34:](#)

B) As progressivism normalizes more and more obscene actions, they have become more and more hard line on consent, with phrases such as statutory rape, the #metoo movement, #rapeculture, woman power, child protective laws, and so much more, they are trying very very hard (way more then in the past) to make consent a way bigger deal then it has ever been.

The "Hard line on consent" only seems to go one way: against men, Because only they can be abusers (I was molested by a woman)

Warning: Spoiler!

As @franklee wrote, they don't care for children's rights (Just see [or don't] the number of LGBTQ rights orgs who just opposed legislation requiring porn sites to check users are over 18

=====

Re: "Creepy" sexual attractions
Posted by cordnoy - 03 Jan 2023 14:31

[jackthejew wrote on 03 Jan 2023 14:05:](#)

[Human being wrote on 02 Jan 2023 22:34:](#)

There are 2 points here that i feel i must make clear. Because we seem to be going in circles.

A) Even though we have gone in circles endlessly about feelings not being actions and actions not being feelings, you continue saying that normalizing pedophilia = normalizing molest. This is a false premise. The same false as saying normalizing sexual desire = normalizing rape.

So even though we go around and around in circles, you stick to your "danger" of normalizing something that isn't intrinsically dangerous. So you are right. I don't see a point of going in circles if we aren't talking to each others points.

G-d gave us sexual desire, so while lusting is wrong, lusting after a woman is natural, and progression from that point is uncommon. Where it could happen, Chazal put in guidelines. On the other hand, pedophilic feelings are 99% of the time caused by some underlying issues. Let's think about what that means: there is some underlying hole that someone with an unusual attraction is trying to fill. And the way he's trying to self-medicate with isn't going to help it. So the progression of more intense obsession and attraction is logical. Just like in any substance abuse case the individual is trying to "Fill the hole" with his drug of choice. And we know how that progression goes. That's the difference in my opinion. Doesn't mean every guy with a pedophilic desire will C"V molest someone, but to compare it to lusting after women on the street is wrong (unless you refer to stalking&more)

Thank you all.

My sense is that most folk know where they stand regardin' what they consider normal and what they don't. I don't believe anyone will be convincin' anybody else to join their side. Perhaps it is time to put this to rest. Just sayin'.

Godspeed to all

=====

Re: "Creepy" sexual attractions

Posted by Human being - 03 Jan 2023 20:03

[frank.lee wrote on 02 Jan 2023 23:30:](#)

One difference between interest in children vs. adults is that it is unfortunately easier to abuse a child than an adult, so the danger of fantasy thoughts turning to actions is higher. Agree?

Sure. And the danger of you raping a woman is stronger then you raping a man too. Should that make us nervous that you are someone who will rape a woman?

=====

Re: "Creepy" sexual attractions

Posted by Human being - 03 Jan 2023 20:04

[frank.lee wrote on 02 Jan 2023 23:30:](#)

Do you think that if someone is attracted to children, they should or should not curb their thoughts of such acting out, or bodies, etc? Putting aside your sh*ta about how shame can

cause harm. Intrinsically??

Of course they should. What do you mean intrinsically?

=====

Re: "Creepy" sexual attractions

Posted by Human being - 03 Jan 2023 20:08

[jackthejew wrote on 03 Jan 2023 14:05:](#)

G-d gave us sexual desire, so while lusting is wrong, lusting after a woman is natural, and progression from that point is uncommon. Where it could happen, Chazal put in guidelines. On the other hand, pedophilic feelings are 99% of the time caused by some underlying issues. Let's think about what that means: there is some underlying hole that someone with an unusual attraction is trying to fill. And the way he's trying to self-medicate with isn't going to help it. So the progression of more intense obsession and attraction is logical. Just like in any substance abuse case the individual is trying to "Fill the hole" with his drug of choice. And we know how that progression goes. That's the difference in my opinion. Doesn't mean every guy with a pedophilic desire will C"V molest someone, but to compare it to lusting after women on the street is wrong (unless you refer to stalking&more)

Lusting after woman ("regular desire") has the same affect and cause. Its caused by a hole we are trying aimlessly to fill, that never fills up and it progresses. No difference here in my humble opinion. If we cant stop something that we want to stop, it aint coming from healthy sources. Anyone trying to stop a harmful/unwanted/bad action and they just cant stop--in our case regular ordinary lust-- , even though they very badly want to,99.999999% of the time the dynamic is caused by some underlying issues. Let's think about what that means.....

.....there is some underlying hole that someone with a regular sexual attraction is trying to fill. And the way he's trying to self-medicate, (by watching porn/masturbating isn't going to help it. So the progression of more intense obsession and attraction is logical. Just like in any substance abuse case the individual is trying to "Fill the hole" with his drug of choice. And we know how that progression goes. That's the ~~difference~~ same in my opinion.

=====

Re: "Creepy" sexual attractions

Posted by Human being - 03 Jan 2023 20:34

[cordnoy wrote on 03 Jan 2023 14:31:](#)

Thank you all.

My sense is that most folk know where they stand regardin' what they consider normal and what they don't. I don't believe anyone will be convincin' anybody else to join their side. Perhaps it is time to put this to rest. Just sayin'.

Godspeed to all

From the emails i got, from those affected by various desires, it seems like this discussion should be on the top of every "important threads" board. People need to see this, and to continue seeing this, so they can heal. So they can live. So they can get educated. Yes its important that this topic never "goes away", or we are neglecting to care about all those affected. We need everyone to see both sides of the argument clearly so those who have various challenges knows there's someone speaking the words they wish they can say, but don't have the courage. That theres someone who validates what they wish we understood. That there's someone speaking up for them. With that ADIOS AMIGOS from this thread until the next time someone comments!

=====